

File No.23014/1/2015-CPD
Government of India
Ministry of Coal
<<>>

MOST IMMEDIATE

To

New Delhi, the 20th March, 2015

The Chairman,
Coal India Limited
10, NS Road,
Kolkata

2. The Chairman-cum-Managing Director,
Singareni Collieries Company Limited,
18, Red Hills, Khairatabad PO,
Hyderabad, AP.

Subject: Minutes of the Standing Linkage Committee (Long-Term) for Power/Cement/Sponge Sectors held on 12th March, 2015 to review the status of existing coal linkages/LoAs and other related matters

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith the minutes of the Standing Linkage Committee (Long-Term) for Power/Cement/Sponge Sectors held on 12th March, 2015 to review the status of existing coal linkages/LoAs and other related matters.

2. **Approval of the competent authority on recommendations shall be communicated in due course.**

Encl: as above

Yours faithfully,

PS - u - R
20-3-2015

(Pilli Ravi Kumar)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India
Tele: 23384285

To

1	Additional Secretary, (AKB), Ministry of Coal	Chairperson
2	Principal Advisor(Energy), NITI Ayog, Yojana Bhawan New Delhi	Member
3	Joint Secretary, (Coal), Ministry of Coal	Member
4	Advisor (Projects), Ministry of Coal	Member
5	Joint Secretary(Thermal), Ministry of Power, Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi	Member
6	Joint Secretary (Ports), Ministry of Shipping, Transport Bhawan, New Delhi	Member
7	Joint Secretary, Ministry of Steel, Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi	Member
8	Joint Secretary, Department of Industrial Policy & Promotion, Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi	Member
9.	Executive Director, T. T. (F), Room No. 261, Railway Board, Ministry of Railways, New Delhi	Member
10	Chairman-cum-Managing Director, CIL, 10-Netaji Subhas Road., Kolkata.- 700001	Member
11	Director(Marketing), Coal India Limited, 15-Park Street, Kolkata	Member
12	CMD's BCCL, CCL., ECL, MCL,NCL, SECL & WCL	Member
13	Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Central Mine Planning & Design Instt Ltd., Gondwana Place, Kanke Road, Ranchi	Member
14	Chairman-cum-Managing Director, SCCL, P.O. Kothagudem Collieries, Distt. Khammam-507101	Member
15	an, Central Electricity Authority, Sewa Bhawan, RK Puram, New Delhi	Member
16	an, NTPC, Scope Complex,, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003	Member

Copy to:

(i) Director(Technical), CIL, 10, NS Road, Kolkata, (ii) GM(S&M), CIL, 15-Park Street, Kolkata, (iii) CGM(CP), CIL, 10 NS Road, Kolkata, (iv) Sh. G.K. Vashisth, GM(S&M), CIL, Scope Minar, Laxmi Nagar, Delhi.

Copy also to:-

1. PS to Minister of State (I/C) for Power, Coal and N&RE, 2. OSD to Minister of State (I/C) for Power, Coal and N&RE, 3. Sr. PPS to Secretary(Coal), 4. PPS to Additional Secretary(AKD), 5. PPS to Additional Secretary(AKB), 6. PPS to Joint Secretary(SKS), 7. Director (CPD)

PS - u - R
20-3-2015

(Pilli Ravi Kumar)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

Copy to: NIC, Ministry of Coal with a request to place it on the Website of this Ministry for information of all concerned

Copy to: Nodal Officer, Social Media, Ministry of Coal

F. No.23014/1/2015-CPD
Government of India
Ministry of Coal
CPD Section

New Delhi, Dated 20th March, 2015

Minutes of the meeting of the Standing Linkage Committee (Long Term) for Power held on 12th March, 2015

A meeting of the Standing Linkage Committee (Long Term) for Power was held on 12.03.2015 under the chairmanship of Additional Secretary (AKB) to review the status of LoAs issued by Coal India Ltd, its subsidiaries and SCCL in pursuance of the recommendations of the Committee and other related matters. A list of participants is attached as 'Annexure-I'.

Agenda Item & No.	Brief Description of the Agenda item	Discussion	Recommendations with reasons
Agenda Item No. 1 Confirmation of Minutes of the Special SLC (LT) meeting held on 03.12.2014 and SLC (LT) review meeting held on 23.12.2014	Confirmation of Minutes of the Special SLC (LT) meeting held on 03.12.2014 and SLC (LT) review meeting held on 23.12.2014.	As per agenda item 2 of SLC (LT) meeting held on 23.12.14, pro-rata reduction in ACQ in case of de-commissioned units has been recommended. Representatives of MoP and CEA pointed out that it should be based on facts of each case and inputs of CEA/MoP should be taken into account.	Minutes of the Special SLC (LT) meeting held on 03.12.2014 and SLC (LT) review meeting held on 23.12.2014 were confirmed, along with the correction in [Item No. 2 of Minutes of SLC (LT) dated 23.12.2014] that instead of pro-rata reduction in ACQ for all plants having de-commissioned units MoP's inputs should be taken into account while deciding on reduction in ACQ or otherwise.
Agenda Item No. 2 Supply of Coal beyond	Issue: Supply of Coal beyond 31.03.2015 to EUPs affected by de-allocation of coal blocks and environmental clearance issues, covered under decision of Special meeting of SLC (LT) held on	It was noted that the coal block auction process is going on and it may take some more time for the actual coal supplies to start from these	The Committee recommended that the supply of coal to EUPs covered in category 9 (a) who had normal linkages before allocation of earlier coal blocks,

09-4-2

<p>31.03.2105 to EUPs affected by de-allocation of coal blocks and environmental clearance issues, covered under decision of Special meeting of SLC (LT) held on 03.12.2014.</p>	<p>03.12.2014.</p> <p>Brief Background :-</p> <p>An Inter-Ministerial Committee was constituted in the Ministry of Coal (MOC) vide order No. 23025/2/2013-CPD dated 15.03.2013 with the following terms of reference:</p> <p>I. To consider and make recommendations on the issues related to supply of coal to End-Use-Plants where:</p> <p>(i) The linked coal blocks allotted could not be developed on account of rejection by MoEF for clearances.</p> <p>(ii) The linked coal block has been de-allocated.</p> <p>(iii) Where the development of the linked coal block is delayed on account of non-availability of Environment Clearance/Forestry Clearance due to blocks in no-go areas, wild life corridors or their buffer zones, Coal Bed Methane areas, etc.</p> <p>II. Any other issue referred to by the</p>	<p>blocks. EUPs covered in category 9 (a) had normal linkages before allocation of earlier coal blocks. These are running plants.</p> <p>EUPs in category 9 (b), were granted tapering linkages in view of allocation of coal blocks. CIL was to furnish base data about requirement of coal by these plants and quantity of coal that can be made available to these units. The same is still awaited.</p> <p>Some members pointed out that these supplies are at 40% higher rates than notified price of CIL. CIL pointed out that the supply is on MoU basis for a short period and as per decision of CIL Board, a premium is charged on MoU supplies.</p>	<p>should continue till 30.06.2015 since these are running plants. However, in case supply of coal starts from Schedule – II blocks allocated to fresh allocatees in the current auction process, this dispensation shall cease in respect of the units linked to such coal blocks.</p>
--	--	--	---

	<p style="text-align: center;">Competent Authority.</p> <p>The issues were discussed in detail in the 5th meeting of IMC held on 11.06.2014 and the Committee recommended as under:</p> <p><i>In view of the scarcity situation of coal, prioritization of categories for coal supply be done in the following order:-</i></p> <p>(a) <i>The EUPs which were already having long term linkages / LoAs but their then existing linkages were converted to tapering linkage consequent upon allocation of coal block.</i></p> <p>(b) <i>The EUPs which were granted tapering linkages in view of a coal block having been allocated to them.</i></p> <p>(c) <i>The EUPs which did not have any linkage whatsoever.</i></p> <p>(d) <i>Within (b) & (c) above, due reference shall also be made to the extent of financial exposure of these EUPs, as assessed and recommended by their sponsoring Ministries, to determine inter-se priority among them.</i></p> <p>The above recommendations of IMC were sent to CIL for necessary action after</p>		
--	--	--	--

approval of the competent authority. However, before these could be implemented, Hon'ble Supreme Court pronounced its judgments of August, 2014 and September, 2014. There were numerous representations from various organizations and associations for implementation of the recommendations of the 5th IMC. Accordingly, it was decided to hold a special SLC(LT) meeting on 3.12.14 to discuss these issues.

After detailed deliberations, the Committee recommended that *“coal be supplied to above EUPs under (a) and (b) category subject to availability. EUPs under category 9 (c) of the agenda should not be considered since there is no authentic list of such plants. It would not be justified at all to provide coal to such EUPs who did not have any linkage earlier, normal or tapering and yet they proceeded with it. Giving any coal to such plants would also be tantamount to their jumping the queue over those applicants who had applied for linkages as far back as in 2007 and thereafter. For the purpose of supply of coal on these recommendations, CIL shall assess the quantity of coal that could be made available for this dispensation and keeping that in view, may enter into MoU with EUPs in the order of precedence and priority indicated above. This shall not infringe into the quantity of coal that a EUP is entitled to,*

under the existing tapering linkage if that quantity is higher.

The underlying principle shall be that preference would be given to the running plants. Further, coal supply under category (a) & (b) above shall be confined to EUPs under sponge iron/steel and cement sectors only. IPP units are being treated separately and therefore they would be excluded for the purpose of supply of coal under current dispensations. The CPP shall also be excluded from above arrangement (because of scarcity of coal).

Tentatively, additional 5-7 million tonnes of coal may be made available under current arrangement. The quantities to be supplied to each EUP shall be in proportion to their LoA quantity before these were tapered on account of allocation of coal blocks. Those EUPs whose tapering linkages have been reduced to zero levels and their blocks have been deallocated/cancelled would also need to be considered for supply of coal. EUPs linked with operational blocks should not be covered under the current arrangements as they are entitled to receive coal till 31st March, 2015. EUPs to be supplied coal under current proposal shall be offered coal from the mines nearest to them and they should lift coal by road.

EUPs linked with those coal blocks which are under investigation by CBI and FIRs have been registered against them shall not be considered for supply of coal under above arrangement.

This dispensation shall be in operation till March 31st, 2015."

Based on the above recommendations competent authority decided that coal be supplied to the EUPs under **category 9 (a)** of the Agenda of the meeting in case of de-allocated / cancelled blocks till 31st March, 2015 on best efforts basis / MoU route **across all the sectors i.e. Steel, Cement, Power and CPPs**, subject to availability of coal. Regarding category 9 (b) a view shall be taken separately once relevant base data as regards the requisite quantum of supply and availability is ascertained.

The above decision has been implemented w.e.f. 22.01.2015 by CIL. While a few customers have come forward for entering into MoUs for supply, a few others have not shown interest since e-auction price of coal turns out to be cheaper as compared to MoU price of such supply. The validity of this decision is only upto 31.03.15. Since the process of fresh allocation of coal blocks is still going on, decision needs to be taken for extending the

	<p>period for supply of coal under this dispensation after 31st March, 2015 or otherwise.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 3</p> <p>Continuation of tapering linkage subsequent to Supreme Court Judgment on de-allocation of coal blocks – extension beyond 31.03.2015.</p>	<p>Issue: Continuation of tapering linkage subsequent to Supreme Court Judgment on de-allocation of coal blocks – extension beyond 31.03.2015.</p> <p>Ministry of Power vide their OM No.FU-25/2014-IPC dated 24.12.2014 had recommended that all those power projects which had tapering linkage but whose coal blocks have been de-allocated by the Supreme Court Judgment, the Ministry of Coal may consider maintaining the tapering linkage at the tapering rate prevailing at the time of Supreme Court orders till the coal block auction process is completed.</p> <p>Keeping in view the recommendation made by Ministry of Power vide their OM dated 24.12.2014, with the approval of the competent authority, it was decided that the projects which had tapering linkage but whose coal blocks have been de-allocated by the Supreme Court Judgment, may be allowed to maintain tapering linkage at the tapering rate prevailing at the time of Supreme Court orders till 31.03.2015, subject to review after that date. This dispensation will apply to</p>	<p>It was noted that the coal block auction process is going on and it may take some more time for the actual coal supplies to start from these blocks. Supplies to running plants may not be disrupted.</p> <p>It was also pointed out that this dispensation applies only to Power sector and not to other sectors. The same should be covered across all sectors.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that the supply of coal to those units who were getting supplies on tapering basis on the date of SC Judgment (24.09.2014), should continue to get supplies at the same level of quantity till 30.06.2015 since these are running plants. However, in case supply of coal starts from Schedule – II blocks allocated to fresh allocatees in the current auction process, this dispensation shall cease in respect of the units linked to such coal blocks.</p> <p>The Committee recommended that the decision should apply to all the sectors.</p>

	<p>plants which are part of 78000 MW list approved by CCEA and are running and they have long term PPAs. Accordingly, letter no. 23011/106/2014-CPD(Pt) dated 15.01.2015 was issued.</p> <p>The coal blocks associated with these Units had been declared illegal and deallocated/cancelled by SC judgment. The tapering linkages were on account of these (now deallocated/cancelled) blocks.</p> <p>The validity of this decision is only upto 31.03.15. Since the process of fresh allocation of coal blocks is still going on, decision needs to be taken for extending the period for supply of coal under this dispensation after 31st March, 2015 or otherwise.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 4</p> <p>Supply of coal under MoU to 6 units beyond 31.03.15 covered under draft CCEA note involving:</p> <p>1) Mejia TPS,</p>	<p>Issue :- Supply of coal beyond 31.03.15 under MoU to 6 units recommended by SLC(LT) during its meeting on 04.10.2013 which were delayed due to reasons other than 'No-go' areas and covered under draft CCEA note dated 10.02.2014, recirculated on 06.06.2014</p> <p>Brief Background :-</p> <p>There were 24 units with tapering linkage out of 78000 MW approved under</p>	<p>MoC had formulated a CCEA note in this regard but the same could not be placed before CCEA in the light of MoP formulating a comprehensive CCEA Note.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that the supply of coal to these units may continue till 30.06.2015 since these are running plants. However, in case supply of coal starts from Schedule – II blocks allocated to fresh allocatees in the current auction process, this dispensation shall cease in respect of the units linked to such coal blocks.</p>

<p>Ph II, U-2, 500 MW.</p> <p>2) Ukai U-6, 500 MW.</p> <p>3) Tiroda Ph-I, U-2, 140 MW.</p> <p>4) Bellary U-2, 500 MW</p> <p>5) Parli TPS U-8</p> <p>6) Tiroda Ph-II, U-1, 660 MW</p>	<p>CCEA decision of 21.06.2013. Out of these, 9 units which were affected by 'Go-no-go' policy of the MoEF, a special dispensation was provided vide CCEA decision of 26.12.2013 for three years. Out of balance 15 units, the cases were deliberated in the SLC(LT) meeting of 04.10.2013. MoP suggested that supply of coal could be given for a reasonable period beyond the expiry of tapering linkage. The committee recommended that coal supplies on MOU basis may be extended for one year beyond the expiry of tapering linkages in case of six units. The decision of the committee was to be placed before the CCEA for approval. The draft CCEA note was circulated on 10.02.2014 and recirculated on 06.06.2014 but has not been finalised so far.</p> <p>The issue was considered in the SLC (LT) meeting dated 27.06.2014. The Committee noted that this matter came up during the SLC (LT) meeting dated 04.10.2013 and it was decided that the coal supply may be continued on MoU basis for further one year beyond the expiry of the tapering linkage. The decision could not be operationalized as the matter was stated to be placed before CCEA. The position still remains the same.</p> <p><i>The Committee decided that the earlier decision for supply of coal on MoU basis subject to availability of coal shall</i></p>		
--	---	--	--

stand but it shall be for a period of 6 month or until the CCEA approval is obtained, whichever is earlier. The decision shall apply to all similar cases covered in the same CCEA note.

The similar concerned plants are as under:-

- 1) Mejia TPS, Ph II, U-2, 500 MW.
- 2) Ukai U-6, 500 MW.
- 3) Tiroda Ph-I, U-2, 140 MW.
- 4) Bellary U-2, 500 MW
- 5) Parli TPS U-8
- 6) Tiroda Ph-II, U-1, 660 MW.

The approval of CCEA on the matter has still not been obtained. In the meantime, 6 months' period allowed by SLC/LT vide meeting dated 27.06.14 has lapsed. Moreover, the coal blocks associated with these Units have been declared illegal and deallocated/cancelled by SC judgment. The tapering linkages were on account of these (now deallocated/cancelled) blocks.

Since the process of fresh allocation of coal blocks is still going on, decision needs to be taken for extending the period for supply of coal on MoU basis under this dispensation after 31st March, 2015 or otherwise.

The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.

<p>Agenda Item No. 5</p> <p>Supply of coal under MoU to units beyond 31.03.15 covered under similarly placed power plants (with coal blocks) commissioned/expected to be commissioned by March, 2015.</p> <p>M/s. GVK Power (Goindwal Sahib) Pvt at Goindwal Sahib, Punjab and other similar projects viz</p> <p>Koradi unit-8,9 & 10, MSPGCL, Maharashtra. (3x660 MW)</p>	<p>Issue:- Supply of coal under MoU to units beyond 31.03.15 covered under similarly placed power plants (with coal blocks) commissioned/expected to be commissioned by March, 2015.</p> <p>Brief Background:-</p> <p>Ministry of Power had forwarded a list of similarly placed power plants (9940 MW) which were expected to be commissioned by March, 2015. These were stated to be similarly placed to the 4660 MW power plants, for which CCEA had directed to supply coal under certain conditions. This list included six projects which required tapering coal linkages (i.e. having coal blocks):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Govindwal Sahib Unit-I & II, GVK Power Punjab (2x270 MW) 2. Koradi unit-8,9 & 10, MSPGCL, Maharashtra. (3x660 MW) 3. Chandrapur Ext. Unit-8 & 9, MSPGCL, Maharashtra. (2x500 MW) 4. Mahan TPP (Ph-I) of Essar Power MP Ltd., Distt. Sidhi, M.P. (2x600 MW) 5. Derang unit-2, Jindal India Thermal Power Ltd., Distt. Angul, Odisha. (600 MW) 6. Monnet Malibrahmani TPP, unit-1, Monnet Power. (1x525 MW) 	<p>In spite of offer of coal under MoU as per decision of SLC LT held on 27.06.2014, none of these unit have lifted coal from CIL</p> <p>In the case of these units, there was no commitment to supply coal as they did not have any LoA. Some of these units have also not been commissioned within March 2015, and cannot be termed as 'similarly placed' to 4660 MW category. The criteria adopted for 4660 and similarly placed plants were commissioning by 31.03.15, long term PPA's with DISCOMS and high debt exposure of financial institutions.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended coal supply to those units in the said list of 9940 MW provided by MoP which qualify as similarly placed plants as per the norms applied to 4660 MW and similarly placed plants as per CCEA decision of June 2013. The supply should be strictly in accordance with the CCEA decision and only upto 30.06.2015. However, in case supply of coal starts from Schedule – II blocks allocated to fresh allocatees in the current auction process, this dispensation shall cease in respect of the units linked to such coal blocks.</p>
---	--	---	---

<p>Chandrapur Ext. Unit-8 & 9, MSPGCL, Maharashtra. (2x500 MW)</p> <p>Mahan TPP (Ph-I) of Essar Power MP Ltd., Distt. Sidhi, M.P. (2x600 MW)</p> <p>Derang unit-2, Jindal India Thermal Power Ltd., Distt. Angul, Odisha. (600 MW)</p> <p>Monnet Malibrahmani TPP, unit-1, Monnet Power. (1x525 MW)</p>	<p>The matter was placed before the SLC (LT) in its meeting held on 27.06.2014 and the Committee recommended that <i>"the Committee was of the view that supply of coal is to be done in accordance with the Presidential Directive and as per the CCEA decision top priority is to be given to 60,000 MW capacity out of 78,000 MW.</i></p> <p><i>However, should there be any possibility to accommodate any request without disturbing commitments on account of present linkages, then this may be considered on the basis of CCEA decision referred above whereunder the priority would obtain as follows:- 67000+11000 MW (first priority). 30000 MW (second priority) and 4660 MW + 9940 MW (similarly placed units) (third priority) Committee therefore recommended that subject to the availability of coal, without affecting supply to units higher in the priority, arrangement for coal supply to Goindwal Sahib TPP can be considered on MoU basis for a period not exceeding six months. Requests by other plants similarly placed will also be dealt with on similar lines."</i></p> <p>Now, the coal blocks associated with these Units have been declared illegal and deallocated/cancelled by SC judgment. The requests for tapering linkages were on account of these (now</p>		
---	---	--	--

	<p>deallocated/cancelled) blocks.</p> <p>Since the process of fresh allocation of coal blocks is still going on, decision needs to be taken for extending the period for supply of coal on MoU basis under this dispensation after 31st March, 2015 or otherwise.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No.6 (i)</p> <p>Supply of Coal to Biomass based Power Plants.</p>	<p>Issue: Supply of Coal to Biomass based Power Plants.</p> <p>Brief Background:</p> <p>The issue is related to the requests received from India Biomass Power Association and from Maha Co-Gen Power Producers Association regarding supply of coal to bio mass based power plants via Long Term Coal Linkage. They have represented that their applications for grant of Long Term Coal Linkage are pending with the Ministry of Coal since last 3 years. Accordingly, they have requested MoC to issue linkages to these projects @ 15% of their total fuel requirement.</p> <p>In this regard, it is mentioned that no new linkage has been granted to any of the sectors since 2010 due to negative coal balance with the coal companies.</p> <p>As per earlier policy, Bio mass based</p>	<p>Supply of coal to bio mass based power plants was suspended in view of CERC order dated 18.03.2014. Now, CERC has issued fresh order dated 05.01.2015 and allowed the use of fossil fuels to the extent of 15% in terms of calorific value on annual basis, till 31.03.2017.</p> <p>However, no new linkage has been granted to any of the sectors since 2010. New linkages shall be granted only after availability position improves and that too as per new policy on grant of linkages that shall be formulated in due course.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that in view of CERC order dated 05.01.2015, supply of coal to biomass based power plants may be resumed for those plants which were getting coal under this arrangement and supplies were stopped under CERC order dated 18.03.2014. This arrangement may continue till 31.03.2017 in view of the CERC order dated 05.01.2015.</p> <p>However, since no new linkage has been granted to any of the sectors since 2010, applications for grant of fresh linkages for biomass based plants cannot be considered as of now. New linkages may be granted only after coal availability position improves and the proposed new policy</p>

	<p>power plants were allowed to use 15% of their requirement of fuel as fossil fuel (e.g. coal). Such fuel is used only at the time of non-availability of bio-mass, normally in monsoon season. Coal is needed for co-firing which acts as a supporting fuel and also helps in retaining the heat of bio-mass thus making the process more efficient. Historically, 15% of fuel requirement of coal was supplied as regular linkage to these power plants (mostly CPPs), as per recommendation of Standing Linkage Committee (Long-Term) based on the recommendations of the administrative Ministry i.e. Ministry of Power.</p> <p>However, as per Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions for Tariff determination from Renewable Energy Sources) (First Amendment) Regulations, 2014, dated 18.03.2014. Regulation 41 of the Principal Regulations was amended to read as under:</p> <p><i>“41. Use of Fossil Fuel: The use of fossil fuels shall not be allowed”.</i></p> <p>This matter was discussed in the SLC (LT) meeting held on 27.06.2014. During the meeting representative of CIL informed that CERC had issued guidelines on 18.03.2014 prohibiting use of fossil fuels in Biomass plants. Therefore, it would not be possible to supply coal to any of the</p>		<p>on grant of fresh linkages is formulated.</p>
--	---	--	--

02-4-2

	<p>Biomass Plants. The representative of MoP also supported the view of CIL. The Committee recommended as under:-</p> <p><i>“Committee directed to ascertain in respect of CERC order regarding ban on using fossil fuels in Biomass plants from MoP. This may be done before the next meeting. All these issues will be taken thereafter”.</i></p> <p>In the meantime, supply of coal to bio mass based power plants was suspended in view of CERC order dated 18.03.2014. Now, CERC vide Gazette notification dated 05.01.2015 has again amended Regulation 41 of the Principal Regulations to state as under:</p> <p><i>“The use of fossil fuels shall be limited to the extent of 15% in terms of calorific value on annual basis, till 31.03.2017”.</i></p> <p>In view of the fresh CERC order dated 05.01.2015, the SLC (LT) is to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 6 (ii)</p> <p>Issues related to Biomass Plants for change in the</p>	<p>Issue: Issue of changing of the category from CPP to IPP of bio mass plants.</p> <p>The issue is related to the requests received from the Biomass plants for changing of the category from CPP to IPP of bio mass plants.</p>	<p>The request of the proponents is for conversion from the CPP category to IPP category. The LoA have been issued as CPPs. But they could not fulfil the criteria / milestones for being</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that with regard to the request of biomass plants for being treated as IPPs, MoC letter of July 2008, shall be examined and suitable instructions issued if required. The specific</p>

13-4-2

<p>category from CPP to IPP</p>	<p>A list of Bio-mass plants was received from CIL vide letter dated 22.04.2010, which inter-alia mentioned four projects viz. M/s Shalivahana Green Energy Ltd (10 MW), M/s Varambio Energy (P) Ltd (10 MW), M/s Sardaambika Power Plant Pvt Ltd (10 MW) and M/s Yash Agro Energy Ltd. (12 MW), for which SLC (LT) for power in its meeting held on 06.11.2007 had recommended issuance of LoA from WCL. CIL has also stated that WCL has informed that none of the Bio-Mass plants fulfil the condition of CPPs as the entire power generation is sold to Grid.</p> <p>Accordingly, comments of Maharashtra Energy Development Agency (MEDA) were called for. MEDA clarified the position that the category of all the four Bio-mass plants should be treated as IPP not CPP since all the power generated was being supplied to grid. Comments received from WCL are summarized as under:-</p> <p><i>"In the 4 cases of bio-mass plants, the model LoA for CPP was issued. In terms of modified milestones, all the Bio-mass plants failed to fulfil the milestone No. 9 (b) on the grounds that the power generated is being supplied to Grid. In respect of M/s. Ma Sardaambika Power Plant Pvt Ltd, the unit failed to achieve the Milestone No. 8 in addition to Milestone No. 9 (b). M/s Yash</i></p>	<p>considered as CPP i.e 51% of the power being used for captive purposes and 26% of equity share being with the promoters. So the LoAs were cancelled and BG forfeited. The applicants referred to MoC letter of July, 2008 wherein it was laid down that these biomass units are to be treated as IPPs.</p> <p>The MNRE may also have to be consulted in this regard.</p>	<p>request for reduction of capacity and restoration of LoA may also be re-examined by WCL, subject to physical inspection.</p>
---------------------------------	---	---	---

	<p><i>Agro Energy Ltd, had not made any application for reduction in capacity of their plant from 12 MW to 8 MW. However, they have submitted commissioning certificate from CE stating that the 12 MW power plant situated at Chandrapur is commissioned on 15.09.2008."</i></p> <p>Request has been made by M/s Yash Agro for reduction in capacity from 12 MW to 8 MW, which was forwarded to CIL on 29.08.2011. CIL vide letter dated 01.04.2013 had informed that LoAs were issued by WCL under CPP category. These plants failed to achieve the milestones applicable to CPP within the LoA period, as a result CG have been forfeited after expiry of LOA in all these four cases.</p> <p>The SLC(LT) is to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 7</p> <p>Issue of acceptance /receipt of fresh applications.</p>	<p>Issue: Issue of acceptance / receipt of fresh applications.</p> <p>The proposal for acceptance of fresh applications for LoA in respect of Sponge Iron and Cement Sectors was placed before SLC (LT) meeting held on 22.09.2014. The Committee recommended as under:-</p> <p><i>"Receipt of fresh applications for grant of LoAs from Sponge Iron & Cement sectors shall be kept in abeyance for a</i></p>	<p>The receipt of fresh applications from power sector projects is already kept under abeyance till May, 2015. A fresh system of grant of new linkages is being contemplated. There is no need to accept fresh applications under old system.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that receipt of fresh applications for grant of LoAs from all sectors shall be kept in abeyance till further orders/or till a new policy is formulated for grant of linkages.</p>

	<p><i>period of three months. The matter would be reviewed thereafter."</i></p> <p>In respect of the unprocessed applications, it was recommended that the fees deposited by the developers be deposited to the Govt. account but the processing of these applications should be kept in abeyance. Whenever it would be decided to accept fresh applications for LoAs, such unprocessed applications would get precedence over fresh applications to be received.</p> <p>As a period of three months has already lapsed, the SLC (LT) is to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 8</p> <p>(1). Vandana Vidhyut Ltd.</p>	<p>Issue: (i).Delay in release of Startup coal</p> <p>(ii). Request for issue of guidelines for acceptance of PPA with Discoms under Short term / Medium term power supply in absence of Long Term PPA, for supply of coal against the FSA signed with SECL.</p> <p>(iii) Request for Conversion of Tapering linkage to Long term linkage</p> <p>Brief Background :-</p> <p>1. The project proponent has stated that startup coal for commissioning of unit # 2 is</p>	<p>First issue stands resolved after issue of minutes of previous meeting. There is no provision of supply of coal against medium/short term PPAs under CCEA decision. Conversion of tapering linkage to Long-Term linkage is a policy matter, which would be examined separately. Fresh policy guidelines regarding new system of grant of new linkages shall be issued when availability position improves.</p>	<p>No recommendation.</p>

	<p>yet to be released by SECL, even the CEA (O&M) has recommended vide letter no. CEA/Pig/OM/1/1/2014/1597-1604 dated 13.05.2014 & CEA/Pig/OM/1/1/2014/1597-1604 dated 03.11.2014, for supply of 1.0 (One) lakh ton. During SLC (LT) meeting held on 23.12.2014 the matter was discussed but since the minutes of the meeting not yet released the further action on the forwarding of the letter by GM (S&M) CIL Kolkata to SECL, Bilaspur is held up. they have confirmed to CEA (O&M) about the expected CoD of unit # 2 but due to delay in receipt of the startup coal they could not achieve the expected CoD as intimated to CEA i.e. before 31st March, 2015. Therefore they have requested to advice CIL. to do the needful action immediately to release the startup coal.</p> <p>2.The project proponent stated that they have signed FSA with SECL on 13.08.2013, wherein condition of coal will be supplied only on submission of Long Term PPA. Since presently we are not having any long term PPA with any Discom, they have requested to supply of linkage coal for the available Short term / medium term PPA with Discoms. Without linkage coal the power supply to the Discom is not competitive. They have requested to do needful action in this regard.</p>		
--	---	--	--

	<p>3.The project proponent stated that as per the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the jointly allotted Fatehpur East captive coal block is cancelled by MoC. For participation in the case-1 bid for long term power supply, Long Term Coal Linkage is essential. Hence they have requested to convert the tapering linkage to long term (Normal) coal linkage for their 2x135 MW IPP.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>The issue of supplying one time commissioning coal to plants where Show cause notices were issued (by SECL for achievement of COD beyond one year of expected COD), was discussed in the SLC(LT) Meeting held on 23.12.2014,</p> <p>As per the PD, coal supply is to be made only to those plants having long-term PPA and CIL cannot make supply against medium/short term PPA.</p> <p>Conversion of tapering linkage to Long-Term linkage does not come under the purview of CIL. However, in view of negative coal balance, CIL strongly disagrees to such conversion.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
--	---	--	--

05-11-20

<p>Agenda Item No. 9</p> <p>U.P. Rajya Vidyut Utpadan Nigam Ltd. (UPRVUNL)</p>	<p>Issue: Not to reduce the coal linkage of Obra TPS and Harduaganj TPS on account of completion of balance life/deletion of 3x94 MW unit and 55 MW unit respectively</p> <p>Brief Background :-</p> <p>The project proponent has stated that Coal Linkage of Obra TPS (2x50 MW) (3x94 MW + 5x200 MW = 1382 MW) is 50 lac tonnes as Fuel Supply Agreement (FSA) signed between UPRVUNL & NCL on 25.07.2009. General Manager (Sales) NCL vide letter no. NCL/SGR/Sales/14-15/2232 dated 02.01.2015 informed that ACQ of Obra TPS had been reduced from 50,00,000 to 39,79,740 tonnes retrospectively w.e.f. 01.04.2014 on the ground that 3x94 MW units of Obra TPS had completed their balance life of 5 years w.e.f. 01.04.2009 (copy enclosed). M/s. NCL rejected request of UPRVUNL for non-reduction of coal linkage sent vide letter no. 927/CE(Fuel) dated 26.07.2014 (copy enclosed). R&M of 5x200 MW units and 94 MW unit are being carried out. After R&M of 5x200 MW units and 94 MW unit, coal requirement of Obra TPS (1194 MW) at 85% PLF will be more than 50 lacs tonnes per annum.</p>	<p>This issue is related to agenda item no. 2 of last SLC LT meeting held on 23.12.2014. Final decision on recommendation is yet to be taken.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that the inputs of MoP shall be taken into account while deciding on reduction of ACQ or otherwise.</p>

	<p>Coal Linkage of Harduaganj TPS (1x55 MW + 1x60 MW + 1x105 MW = 220 MW) is 9.0 lac (5.53 lac with BCCL + 3.47 lac with CCL) tonnes per annum. One unit of 55 MW was deleted. R&M of 1x105 MW is being carried out. After R&M of 105 MW unit, coal requirement of Harduaganj TPS (165 MW) at 85% PLF will be more than existing coal linkage of 9.0 lac tonnes per annum. Coal linkage of Harduaganj Extn TPS (2x250 MW) is 2057 lac tonnes with M/s. CCL. They are facing coal shortage at Harduaganj for running of available units (1x60 MW & 2x250 MW) against total annual coal linkage of 29.57 lac.</p> <p>They have requested that coal linkage of Obra TPS and Harduaganj TPS may not be reduced on account of completion of balance life/deletion of 3x94 MW units and 55 MW unit respectively.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>As per their request received by NCL, the ACQ has been revised to 39,79,740 tonne per annum retrospectively w.e.f. 01.01.2014. However, further restoration to original quantity may be looked into by NCL on getting consumers' request.</p> <p>The issue was discussed in the SLC (LT) Meeting held on 23.12.2014 wherein it was decided that amended FSAS are to be</p>		
--	--	--	--

	<p>signed after pro-rata reduction of the quantity pertains to derated capacity, the minutes of which is awaited. It is not possible to supply against de-commissioned units.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 10</p> <p>Shyam Sel & Power Limited.</p>	<p>Issue:</p> <p>(i) The validity period of the LoA may kindly be extended suitably by condoning the delay.</p> <p>(ii) The B. G. encased by CCL may kindly be restored.</p> <p>iii) CCL may kindly be advised to Execute FSA enabling them to get the supply of coal</p> <p>Brief Background :-</p> <p>The project proponent has stated that LoA was issued to provide assured supply of coal to the tune of 0.452 MTPA for their Captive Power Plant (CPP) situated at Village: Jamuria Dist: Burdwan (WB) acknowledging the commitment Bank Guarantee for Rs. 4,06,80,000/-.</p> <p>Subsequently, CCL sought certain clarification in respect of milestones vis-à-vis achievement of the same and the same was compiled by them. And thereafter a letter, desired documents in respect of</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. CCL explained that some of the Milestones were not achieved and therefore, LoA was cancelled and BG forfeited. Some milestones are still to be achieved. EC has to be corrected. Bank loan, and land related milestones are to be completed. Further, the matter is sub-judice.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that the case be re-examined by the CCL and a report sent to CIL. The report with remarks of CIL may be placed before SLC(LT).</p>

	<p>environment clearance were also submitted.</p> <p>Since, there was no clarification or communication from CCL with reference to their submission, they assumed that all the documents & compliances submitted to the respective authorities are found in order. It was further stated that CCL issued letter to them for encashment of their Bank Guarantee vide their letter no. CCL/HQ/C-4/Shyam Sel/7336-41 dated 16/21.09.2011.</p> <p>Later the revocation of the BG was withdrawn and validity period of the BG was extended.</p> <p>Later CCL instructed their Banker Oriental Bank of Commerce for encashment of subject BG without indicating any reason what so ever on 22.03.2011 though their BG was valid up to 31.07.2011. And further CCL issued letter for cancellation / withdrawal of Letter of Assurance (LoA) and encashment of commitment Guarantee vide their letter No. CCL/HQ/C-4/ LOA Corresp/2300-08 dated 22.03.2011.</p> <p>They had therefore no alternative but to approach the Court of Law on 06.04.2011. The Hon'ble Court granted some interim relief in terms of keeping linkage & LoA alive and also replacing BG with a demand draft. It was further stated that concluding the issue through court will be a long drawn</p>		
--	--	--	--

	<p>process to their detriment only. And requested for the following :-</p> <p>A) That the all milestones were achieved by them during the validity period of the LoA hence there is no cause of action. The validity period of the LoA may kindly be extended suitably by condoning the delay.</p> <p>B) The B. G. encashed by CCL may kindly be restored.</p> <p>C) CCL may kindly be advised to Execute FSA enabling them to get the supply of coal.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>LOA cancelled/withdrawn due to deficiencies observed in milestones no 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8 by CCL.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 11</p> <p>Balco</p>	<p>Issue: Supply of backlog quantity of coal</p> <p>Brief Background :-</p> <p>The project proponent has stated that , Bharat Aluminium Company Ltd. (BALCO) had been operating 270MW captive power plant since 1988 and 540MW captive power plant since 2005-06 and had long term linkages and FSA signed with SECL. BALCO was allocated Durgapur II/Taraimar</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. The issue is regarding supply of backlog quantity, subsequent to revision of date of normative production of coal block. CIL stated that coal is given to a running plant to meet its current requirements. There is no provision in FSA to supply</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that request of BALCO for supply of backlog quantity may not be acceded to.</p>

04-11-22

	<p>coal block in November, 2007 and pursuant to the same, long term linkages were converted to tapering linkages as per normative date of production of the coal block. Initially normative date of production of Durgapur II/Taraimar coal block was prescribed as 6th May 2011, though as per norms, it should have been 6th May 2012. On taking up the matter with Ministry of Coal, the same was revised to 6th May 2012 by MoC vide letter no. 13016/39/2008-CA-I dated 9th Dec 2011 and tapering was restored back to normal quantity w.e.f. 27th Jan'2012.. In the meanwhile, SECL has already commenced tapering of coal and had reduced the coal supply by 25% w.e.f. 7th May 2011. Hence during the period 7th May 2011 to 26th January 2012, BALCO lost 25% of linkage quantity amounting to approx. 7.89 lakh tonnes.</p> <p>They have been representing to CIL/SECL for supply of this backlog quantity but the matter has been pending. Currently, BALCO is getting only 25% coal of linkage quantity after further tapering. Consequently, they are facing severe shortage of domestic coal and are forced to reduce generation and buy power from the grid to keep their smelter running.</p> <p>It was further stated that the petition filed in the court earlier by BALCO on this issue</p>	<p>backlog quantity of coal which lapses after end of the period. There is no such precedent of supply of backlog quantity. Coal cannot be supplied for a retrospective period.</p>	
--	--	---	--

	<p>has been withdrawn and the same has been intimated to Ministry of Coal. As on date there is no legal case in any court regarding this issue.</p> <p>In view of above, they have requested to consider the issue and give necessary instructions to SECL for supply of backlog quantity of coal which will give some relief to BALCO's operations temporarily.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>The supply of backlog quantity cannot be agreed.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 12</p> <p>Baldev Alloys Pvt. Ltd.</p>	<p>Issue: Restoration of Coal Linkage for Captive Power Plant</p> <p>Brief Background :-</p> <p>The project proponent has stated that MoC in its SLC(LT) meeting dated 11-05-2005, granted coal linkage to their Captive power Plant, which was conveyed vide linkage order dated 27-07-2006.</p> <p>The aforesaid linkage order, contained a condition to sign FSA within a year of the issuance of the linkage order.</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. It was also brought out that MoC had replied to the representation of the party on 30.06.14 as per orders of the Court.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that the issue be dealt by Ministry of Coal in view of court orders.</p>

	<p>That MoC in its SLC(LT) meeting dated 18-04-2011, in certain cases (including their's case) directed that CIL would take a view on cancellation of linkage or signing of FSA based on terms and conditions of the linkage order in each case and inform the ministry of coal accordingly.</p> <p>CIL/SECL cancelled / terminated the Linkage granted by MoC stating that the plant was not operational on 18-04-2011 i.e. even date of SLC(LT) meeting, and other autocratic grounds without taking into consideration that the plant has been installed and operating in absence of linkage coal and requested for restoration of Coal linkage.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Existing linkage for CPP. FSA was not sign since the plant was found to be non-operational at the time of physical inspection.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 13</p> <p>Reliance Power Limited. (VIPL)</p>	<p>Issue: Supply of coal to unit 1 of Vidarbha Industries Power Ltd. (VIPL).</p> <p>Brief Background :-</p> <p>The project proponent has stated that unit 1</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. FSA has not been signed since the unit-1 does not fall within 78000 MW list of CCEA.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that MoP may provide inputs on the issue.</p>

① 4-4-2

	<p>satisfies all conditions for supply of coal LoA for unit 1 issued by CIL. VIPL has achieved all LoA milestones and also satisfies all criteria for signing the FSA and supply of coal.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Conversion of the Unit 1 of VIPL from GCPP to IPP category has been done as per the decision of SLC (LT), in its meeting held on 21st February, 2014. Since the unit is not covered in the Presidential Directive dated 17.07.2013, therefore FSA/coal supply cannot be done. For Unit 2, regular coal supply is taking place under FSA.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 14</p> <p>Visa Steel Ltd.</p>	<p>Issue: Signing of FSA.</p> <p>Brief Background :-</p> <p>1. Coal Linkage of 3,67,700 tonnes /annum allotted to VISA Steel for its CPP of 75 MW vide LoA dated 24.6.2010, is yet to be implemented and FSA yet to be signed by MCL though their CPP of 40 MW based on thermal coal has already been commissioned in 2010. After VISA Steel achieved all the milestones in time, MCL requested the coal ministry for its advise for signing of FSA for 40 MW capacity, but coal ministry is yet to reply to its request.</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. LoA for CPP was given in 2010. They had achieved all the milestones within validity of LoA. The quantification was recommended by CCO to MCL. CCO communication reached MCL 40 days late. FSA is yet to be signed.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that the issue be dealt with by MoC as issue also related to coal block allocated earlier to the proponent.</p>

	<p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Matter referred to MOC vide letter dt. 20.2.2013 for advice as coal quantification received late from CCO, i.e., after 3 months period after expiry of LOA. Letter seeking advise on signing of FSA after expiry of 3 months sent to MOC on 20.02.2013. Also 3 year tapering period has expired.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 15</p> <p>GMR Chhattisgarh Energy Ltd.</p>	<p>Issue:</p> <p>Supply of commissioning coal to the 2x685 MW power project in Raikheda, Chhattisgarh.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>The project proponent has stated that the first unit of the 2x685 MW thermal power project has achieved synchronization with the grid using coal on 2nd November, 2014. It was subsequently tested to raise load and the unit is ready for commissioning with coal to achieve rated load.</p> <p>The project had applied for coal linkage in 2009, however hasn't been granted Letter of Assurance (LoA). In order to continue with commissioning of its units using coal as fuel, the project requires commissioning</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issues as mentioned in the representation. It was pointed out that the issues need to be examined at the level of CIL only.</p>	<p>No recommendation.</p>

	<p>coal. The project forms a part of '<i>similarly placed project</i>' which, as per the decision of Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs (CCEA) of 21 June, 2013 and the subsequent presidential directive to coal companies to execute FSAs, needs to be supplied coal for its two units.</p> <p>The project proponent has requested for supply of at least 2 lakh tonne coal required for commissioning and carpeting purpose. This quantity can be adjusted against the supply under the Fuel Supply Agreement (FSA) as and when the coal linkage/allocation is granted by CIL/MoC.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>(1) Not having any linkage with CIL Covered under 9940 MW, which had been offered coal under best effort MOU from NEC and WCL, but not lifted any coal from subsidiaries of CIL.</p> <p>(2) MOC vide its letter F No. 23011/138/2012-CPD (Vol-III) dated 16.01.2014 directed CIL to supply coal subject to a maximum approved quantity as indicated in the Presidential Directive dated 17.07.2013 for a period up to 30.09.2016 or till such time the production actually starts from the blocks. In view of availability of coal at ECL, the 3rd unit was transferred to ECL. As ECL has sought more linkages</p>		
--	---	--	--

9-4-12

	<p>to cater its production, it is not possible to revert back to MCL</p> <p>(3) Supply is materialized as per term of FSA where, trigger level is 65% during 2014-15.</p> <p>(4) No linkage with CIL, covered under 9940 MW, have been offered coal under best effort MOU from NEC and WCL but the IPP has not lifted any coal from subsidiaries of CIL. WCL does not have any rail logistic problem.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 16</p> <p>Marwa TPP CSPGCL</p>	<p>Issue: Extension of validity of tapering linkage for Marwa TPP.</p> <p>The project proponent has stated that LoA was issued on 12.09.2008 and FSA was signed on 04.09.2013 with tapering linkage. MoC / CCO decided that Normative date for production of coal from linked Parsa Captive Coal Block as 02.05.2012. Therefore, the tapering linkage is valid till 01.05.2015.</p> <p>The development of linked coal block Parsa for the project got affected due to 'No-Go' policy till August, 2012 de-allocation of coal block due to Supreme Court Judgment dated 25.08.2014.</p> <p>In view of the above the project proponent</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. It was explained to them that as of now, they are covered under CCEA decision regarding supply of coal till 30.09.16. Regarding one time release of coal, CIL may be approached.</p>	<p>No recommendation.</p>

09-11-2

	<p>has requested for extension of validity of tapering linkage for Marwa TPP for a period of 5 years or till commencement of production of coal from the dedicated the coal mine which they have already applied under the process of allotment of coal blocks to the Govt. companies.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Extension in tapering linkage.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 17</p> <p>India bulls Power Limited.</p> <p>Currently RatanIndia Power Limited.</p>	<p>Issue:</p> <p>(i) Change of name of the company from Indiabulls Power Limited to RatanIndia Power Limited.</p> <p>(ii) To extend the period of Purchaser's Condition Precedent of clause no 2.8.3 of FSA in accordance with revised date of COD of the Units.</p> <p>The project proponent has stated that the name of the Company be changed to RattanIndia Power Limited and thus the approval of the shareholders of the Company in this regard was sought, in terms of the requirements to such effect as laid down under the Companies Act, 2013 and the Rules framed thereunder.</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. According to CS of the proponent, there is no name change in shareholding. However, name change issue has been referred by SECL to MoC recently.</p> <p>Regarding COD, issues will be resolved upon issue of minutes of meeting on 23.12.14.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that name change request be examined by the MoC. Regarding Conditions Precedent, revised COD will be circulated by CEA. Issue will be examined by SECL in light of the revised COD and the FSA provisions.</p>

	<p>Subsequent to the receipt of the approval of the shareholders, an application was made by the Company to the Registrar of Companies NCT of Delhi & Haryana in response to which a fresh certificate of incorporation dated October 30,2014 was issued in favor of the Company evidencing that the name of the Company stood changed to RattanIndia Power Limited, with effect from the said date.</p> <p>The fact as to the aforesaid change in the name of the Company was informed to Ministry of Coal. Pending the receipt of the approval of the Ministry of Coal, they shall therefore have to procure the coal supplies from SECL under the FSA, in the old name of the Company. Accordingly, an AFFIDAVIT CUM INDEMNITY BOND (prescribed to cater to such situations, as applicable to the SLC (LT) Consumers) duly signed and executed, is submitted to Coal Companies.</p> <p>They have requested that on the basis of this letter, the requisite information as to the change in the name of the Company be transmitted to the Director Rail Movement, Railway Board, Kolkata beside other authority for necessary alteration in their record, so that change in FOIS can be made for acceptance & sanction of Rail movement program from December, 2014 onward.</p>		
--	---	--	--

04-11-14

	<p>2. The project proponent has further stated that they have explained their constraints to the Honorable members of SLC (LT) regarding cause of delay in CoD of the Units of Indiabulls Power & Indiabulls Realtech Ltd on 22nd Sept'2014 in the SLC (LT). Thereafter, following recommendation was made by SLC (LT) at item no 3(ii) as per the minutes circulated vide no 23014/2/2014-CPD dated 7th November '2014:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">i. Notice for cancellation issued due to non-achievement of COD may be kept in abeyance in all such cases.ii. CEA & CIL should formulate a common policy in the matter.iii. CEA should furnish a comprehensive list of TPPs with revised CoD and there should be no slippage beyond that.iv. The issue of imposition of penalty due to non-achievement of CoD to be decided thereafter. <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Comments of CIL not received.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
--	---	--	--

04-11-2014

<p>Agenda Item No. 18</p> <p>DVC, CTPS #U 7 & 8 MTPS #U 7 & RTPS Phase I (U#1&2)</p>	<p>Issue: Execution of FSA and Grant of long term linkage</p> <p><u>Brief Background :-</u></p> <p>The project proponent has requested for execution of FSA for supply of 1.03 MTPA to their plant CTPS unit no.8 under long term Linkage approved by SLC(LT) since, the allocated coal block has already been cancelled by Supreme Court order.</p> <p>And further requested for Grant of long term linkage to their plants MTPS unit no.7 & 8 and RTPS unit no.1 & 2 since, the allocated coal block has already been cancelled by Supreme Court order.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>The case was already discussed in the SLC (LT) and the issue was inconclusive. The unit is covered under Presidential Directives dated 17.07.2013</p> <p>Both the units are covered under Presidential Directives dated 17.07.2013. CCO intimated that Gondulpara coal block was jointly allocated to the consumer. Meanwhile FSA with Unit 7 was already signed. The matter was deliberated in the SLC(LT) Meeting held on 04.10.2013 wherein the Committee recommended that since the matter was being examined in the</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. It was pointed out that process of fresh allocation of coal blocks is going on and DVC may explore that option. Conversion of tapering linkage to Long-Term linkage is a policy matter, which will be decided in due course. Fresh policy guidelines regarding new system of grant of new linkages are under process.</p>	<p>No recommendation.</p>
---	---	--	---------------------------

U-1-2

	<p>MOC, supply to unit 7 in the interim not to be discontinued without the approval of MOC/SLC(LT). However, no decision regarding unit 8 (where FSA is yet to be signed) has been taken by MOC/SLC(LT) till date.</p> <p>Conversion of tapering linkage to Long-Term linkage does not come under the purview of CIL. However, in view of negative coal balance, CIL disagrees to such conversion.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 19</p> <p>Yazdani Steel & Power Ltd. formerly known as Dinabandhu Steel & Power Ltd.</p>	<p>Issue:</p> <p>Request for lifting of coal under FSA in the new name i.e Yazdani Steel & Power Ltd.(formerly known as Dinabandhu Steel & Power Ltd.).</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>The project proponent has stated that in the absence of the requisite approval from the ministry of coal, they are not in a position to avail of their quota of linkage coal for operating their plant and have so far dependent on coal procurement through e-auction and private traders this has made operation of their unit very unviable</p> <p>They have requested for grant of</p>	<p>Project proponent was not present.</p>	<p>Deferred</p>

05-4-2

	<p>permission and allow them to lifting of coal under FSA in the new name from MCL .</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Matter pending at MOC. Quantification for AFBC boiler received. There is change in name. MoC had sought comments vide letter dated 7.5.12. Comments sent vide letter dated 4.6.12.No reply/approval from MOC regarding change in name till date received. Clarification regarding linkage has already been sent to MOC vide letter dated 26.0.2014. Further, as per directive of SLC(LT) dated 21.02.2014 complete status along with all the facts has been sent to MOC vide letter dated 28.03.2014.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 20</p> <p>Domestic Coal Based Power Producers Association (DCBPPA)</p> <p>(1) GMR Kamalanga Energy Ltd., Phase I, Dhenkenal,</p>	<p>Issue:</p> <p>Restoration of source transfer to previous sources of Coal linkage for the following five tapering units, since it would entail low transportation costs and the railways would also bear lesser burden.</p> <p>i. GMR Kamalanga Energy Ltd., Phase I, Dhenkenal, Odisha</p> <p>ii. Sterlite Energy Ltd., Jharsuguda, Odisha</p>	<p>The Association explained the issues as mentioned in the representation. It was pointed out that the issues need to be examined at the level of CIL only.</p>	<p>No recommendation.</p>

D-4-u-2

<p>Odisha</p> <p>(2) Sterlite Energy Ltd., Jharsuguda, Odisha.</p> <p>(3) KSK Mahanadi Power Co. Ltd., Jangjir Champa, Odisha</p> <p>(4) Jindal India Thermal Power Ltd., Derang, Odisha</p> <p>(5) Monnet Power Co. Ltd., Odisha</p>	<p>iii. KSK Mahanadi Power Co. Ltd., Jangjir Champa, Odisha</p> <p>iv. Jindal India Thermal Power Ltd., Derang, Odisha</p> <p>v. Monnet Power Co. Ltd., Odisha</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>Domestic Coal Based Power Producers Association has requested for restore source of coal as MCL in respect of (i) & (ii) and in respect of Sl. No. (iv) & (v) has MCL on linkage prices. And in case of Sl. No. (iii) may be transferred back to SECL.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :- (1, 2 & 3)</p> <p>MOC vide its letter F No. 23011/138/2012-CPD (Vol-III) dated 16.01.2014 directed CIL to supply coal subject to a maximum approved quantity as indicated in the Presidential Directive dated 17.07.2013 for a period up to 30.09.2016 or till such time the production actually starts from the blocks.. In view of demand of additional linkage by ECL, one unit was transferred to ECL. The request cannot be considered.</p> <p>(4) Unit no. not mentioned. Unit 1 covered under PD . For unit 2 , no linkage with CIL, covered under 9940 MW, had been offered</p>		
---	--	--	--

	<p>coal under best effort MOU from NEC and WCL, but not lifted any coal from subsidiaries of CIL. The request cannot be considered as MCL has sufficient linkages.</p> <p>(5) No linkage with CIL, covered under 9940 MW, had been offered coal under best effort MOU from NEC and WCL but not lifted any coal from subsidiaries of CIL.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 21</p> <p>Domestic Coal Based Power Producers Association (DCBPPA)</p>	<p>Issue: Request for Extension by 1 year in Policy for supply of E-Auction Coal to power plants (IPPs) from CIL mines.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>The Domestic Coal Based Power Producers Association stated that MoC had issued guidelines to CIL in November 2014 wherein it was proposed that coal stock accumulated in various CIL mines may be offered to IPPs having long term PPS with Discoms with a condition to evacuate coal on their own. They understood that this policy will be in force until March, 2015. Therefore, they have requested to extent the above policy for a period of another year upto March, 2016.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Related to E-auction policy.</p>	<p>The Association explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. It was pointed out that the issue is being examined separately by MoC.</p>	<p>The issue be separately examined by MoC.</p>

09-4-2

	The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.		
<p>Agenda Item No. 22</p> <p>APGENCO Govt. of AP undertaking</p>	<p>Issue:</p> <p>i. Request for exemption from submitting additional bank guarantee for non-achievement of milestones for Rayalseema-VI power plant.</p> <p>ii. Request for additional coal linkage of 1.2 MT for their Rayalseema-VI power plant since, the capacity has been enhanced from 500 MW to 600 MW.</p> <p>iii. Request for new linkage of 4.72 MT to their new project of NTPS unit (800 MW)</p> <p>iv. Request for new long term coal linkage of 10 MT to their new project of Vadavrevu power plant (5x800 MW)</p> <p>v. Request for new coal linkage of 2.75 MT to their new project of Damodara Sanjeeviah stage-2 (5x800 MW)</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>APGENCO has intimated that</p> <p>Out of 5 milestones to be achieved within 6 months 2 milestones i.e. land acquisition and EC what not achieved within due date and for which additional CG was furnished</p>	<p>Project proponent was not present.</p>	<p>Deferred.</p>

to MCL. During the meeting of SLC (LT) 28.12.2013 in the minutes of the meeting in respect of RTPP (Units # 6) it was stated that There was delay in submission of 5 milestone but unit furnished additional CG for 2 milestones only within validity.

Subsequently, MCL intimated that APGENCO is required to furnish 5 additional CGs against 5 milestone and the status was reported to SLC (LT).

APGENCO requested that it will furnish additional CG for 2 milestones which were not achieved within due date and requested for exemption for submission of additional CG for the balance 3 milestones.

And regarding additional Coal Linkage to RTPP Stage-IV (1x600 MW), it was further stated that CIL advised the power utilities to go for 600 MW unit instead of 500 MW hence the capacity was revised to 600 MW from 500 MW. APGENCO applied for additional coal linkage to 1.2 MT. EC for additional 100 MW was held up for want of additional coal linkage.

Remarks of CIL :-

As per information received from MCL, there were delay in submission in 5 milestones, however, the unit submitted addl. BG for 2 milestones only within the

09-11-13

	<p>validity period.</p> <p>The issue was deliberated in the SLC(LT) Meeting held on 27.06.2014, wherein the Committee recommended that, "keeping in view of the negative coal balance as of now also in view of the facts that there are several other cases of similar nature, where demand for such additional coal would be of the order of 15-20 MT, no change in the present position is recommended." As such additional coal cannot be agreed.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 23</p> <p>Jindal Stainless Steel</p>	<p>Issue: Request for restoration of coal linkage of 1.139 MT for CPP of capacity (2x125)in view of deallocation of their captive coal block.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>The project proponent has state that they have setup 2x125 MW Captive Power Plant at Kalinga Nagar Industrial Complex, Jajpur, Odisha. A captive coal block was allocated to Jindal Stainless Steel Ltd. on 29.11.2005 jointly with MCL and 3 other private parties for the said CPP. Subsequently, long-term linkage was converted to tapering linkage.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Matter is related to restoration of tapering</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. Conversion of tapering linkage to Long-Term linkage is a policy matter, which would be examined separately. Fresh policy guidelines regarding new system of grant of new linkages shall be issued when availability position improves.</p>	<p>No recommendation.</p>

03-4-2

	coal linkage, which cannot be agreed to CIL. The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.		
Agenda Item No. 24 Dheeru Power	<p>Issue: Request for re-validation of the LOAs in view of problems related to Environmental related Issues.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>The project proponent has stated that the matter was discussed in detail in the SLC (LT) meeting dt 23-12-2014 and it was agreed that the issues concerned may be processed on file or will be placed in the coming SLC (LT) in order to and grant the suitable re-validation/extension.</p> <p>As discussed in the previous meeting they requested for Re-validation of the LOAs and grant 1 (One) Year extension to enable them to resolve all the Environmental issues.</p> <p>It was further submitted by them that subject to the re-validation of LOAs, they are agreeable to withdraw the pending case before the Kolkata High Court filed in connection with termination of LoAs.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>No comments received from CIL.</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. It was pointed out that the issue has already been examined in previous SLC(LT) meetings and that the matter is sub-judice in the SC.</p> <p>As per NGT order they have initiated process for applying for fresh EC but the same cannot be granted since the LoA has been cancelled.</p>	May be examined on file in MoC.

	The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.		
Agenda Item No. 25 L&T Nabha Power Ltd.	<p>Issue: M/s. Nabha Power Limited stated that, earlier configuration of the project was 2X600 MW which subsequently changed to 2X700 MW but no enhancement in coal quantity for enhanced 200 MW was given.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>The project proponent has stated that grant of coal linkage for balance approved capacity of 200 MW. Approved and installed capacity of the project is 1400 MW, whereas linkage is granted for 1200 MW. Both Units of this 2X700 MW project are in operation.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>The issue was deliberated in the SLC(LT) Meeting held on 27.06.2014, wherein the Committee recommended that, "keeping in view of the negative coal balance as of now also in view of the facts that there are several other cases of similar nature, where demand for such additional coal would be of the order of 15-20 MT, no change in the present position is recommended."</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>	The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. It was pointed out that the issue has already been examined in previous SLC(LT) meetings and the case has been regretted.	No recommendation.

<p>Agenda Item No. 26</p> <p>TSPL (Talwandi Sabo)</p>	<p>Issue: Shifting of linkage of 2 units of TSPL from MCL to NCL or SECL.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>Talwandi Sabo Power Limited stated that they need 8 rakes per day for running their plant on 80% PLF but the unit hardly receiving 2 rakes per day from MCL. Stating so, M/s, TSPL requested to transfer the part quantities to SECL and NCL.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>The consumer may apply in CIL for taking necessary action, if any. The coal may be available from ECL. For improvement in rake supply they need to take up the matter with Railways.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. It was pointed out that the issues need to be examined at the level of CIL only.</p>	<p>No recommendation.</p>
<p>Agenda Item No. 27</p> <p>Sesa Sterlite Limited.</p>	<p>Issue: One unit of Sesa Sterlite Limited of 600MW (4th unit) was transferred to ECL from MCL. M/s. SSL requested for the restoration of the linkage.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>Sesa Sterlite Ltd. (Formerly Sterlite Energy Ltd.) has stated that FSA has signed with MCL for its 4x600 MW IPP at Jharsuguda on Aug27, 2013. However, Coal India Ltd. transferred their linkage quantity for one unit</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. It was pointed out that the issues need to be examined at the level of CIL only.</p>	<p>No recommendation.</p>

1) 4-4-2

	<p>(Unit IV) from MCL to ECL.</p> <p>Their power plant is located at Jharsuguda in Odisha and is close to MCL mines within 30 to 80 km distance. Lifting of coal from ECL will unnecessarily involve additional transportation of coal by large distance appx 600 km and also at additional cost to them.</p> <p>In view of above, they have requested that their linkage for Unit IV be shifted back to MCL.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>MOC vide its letter F No. 23011/138/2012-CPD (Vol-III) dated 16.01.2014 directed CIL to supply coal subject to a maximum approved quantity as indicated in the Presidential Directive dated 17.07.2013 for a period up to 30.09.2016 or till such time the production actually starts from the blocks. . In view of demand of additional linkage by ECL, the 3rd unit was transferred to ECL. The request for change cannot be accepted.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
--	--	--	--

D)g-u-r

F. No.23014/1/2015-CPD
Government of India
Ministry of Coal
CPD Section

New Delhi, Dated 20th March, 2015

Minutes of the meeting of the Standing Linkage Committee (Long Term) for Cement held on 12th March, 2015

A meeting of the Standing Linkage Committee (Long Term) for Cement was held on 12.03.2015 under the chairmanship of Additional Secretary (AKB) to review the status of LoAs issued by Coal India Ltd and its subsidiaries in pursuance of the recommendations of the Committee and other related matters. A list of participants is attached as 'Annexure-I'.

Agenda Item & No.	Brief Description of the Agenda Item	Discussion	Recommendations with reasons
Agenda Item No. 1 Confirmation of Minutes of the Special SLC (LT) meeting held on 03.12.2014 and SLC (LT) review meeting held on 23.12.2014	Confirmation of Minutes of the Special SLC (LT) meeting held on 03.12.2014 and SLC (LT) review meeting held on 23.12.2014.		Minutes of the Special SLC (LT) meeting held on 03.12.2014 and SLC (LT) review meeting held on 23.12.2014 were confirmed.
Agenda Item No. 2 JayPee Sidhi	Issue: (i) Request for signing of FSA for Sidhi Cement Plant.	The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. They were given LoAs from SECL for	The Committee recommended that extension of time for three months upto 30.06.15 be granted to the proponent. In the meantime, they will

Cement Plant	<p>(ii) Restoration of original coal quantity for FSA of Jaypee Rewa Cement Plant, Jaypee Bela Cement Plant, Jaypee Rewa Captive Power Plant-I, Jaypee Rewa Captive Power Plant-II, Jaypee Bela Captive Power Plant, Jaypee Rewa and Jaypee Bela Plant (cement expansion) & Jaypee Himachal Cement Plant Baga with M/s.SECL since, the awarded coal block for these plants have been cancelled.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>The project proponent has stated they have since signed FSA No. 0040 for Jaypee Rewa Cement Plant, 0041 Jaypee Bela Cement Plant, 0042 for Jaypee Rewa Captive Power Plant-I, 0043 for Jaypee Rewa Captive Power Plant-II, 0044 for Jaypee Bela Captive Power Plant, N124 for Jaypee Rewa and Jaypee Bela Plant (cement expansion) & N125 for Jaypee Himachal Cement Plant, Baga with M/s.SECL, Bilaspur. However, these linkages have come under tapering due to coal blocks allotted to them at Mandla (North) vide Ministry of Coal letter dated 17.09.2007. Since the coal blocks have been cancelled in line with the recent Supreme Court orders, they have requested that their linkages</p>	<p>215500 per annum. However, the source mentioned in MoEF clearance is still CCL. The quantity reference issue has been resolved. MoEF is not responding to rectify the source of coal.</p>	<p>write to DIPP. If required, reference may be made to MoEF/MoC by DIPP.</p>
--------------	---	--	---

	<p>coal quantity for their FSAs with M/s. SECL be restored to original volumes as at the time of the signing of the FSAs.</p> <p>It was further stated that their application for Coal Linkage was approved with a coal linkage of 2.55 lac Tons per annum for their green field plant of 2.0 Million Tons per Annum Cement capacity and 1.5 Million Tons per annum of Clinker capacity.</p> <p>They have since complied with all the requirements of various milestones as required by SECL. However, while giving environmental clearance MoEF had mentioned the source of supply as Central Coalfields Ltd. They are of the considered opinion that Ministry of Environment and Forests can only issue environmental clearance for use of certain quantity of coal at their Cement plant as well as Captive Power Plant and they have no jurisdiction towards the source of supply which is the sole prerogative of Ministry of Coal.</p> <p>They requested that SECL, Bilaspur may suitably be advised to invite them to sign the FSA for their JP Sidhi Cement Plant, Sidhi.</p>		
--	---	--	--

04-u-e

	<p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>1.Tapering Linkage. In this regard, action has already been taken by CIL in terms of the decision of the IMC and Minutes of SLC (LT) Meeting held on 03.12.2014. However, matter is related to restoration of tapering coal linkage, which cannot be agreed to CIL.</p> <p>2. Matter is related to restoration of tapering coal linkage, which cannot be agreed to CIL.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 3</p> <p>Prism Cement Limited.</p>	<p>Issue: Restoration of coal linkage and refund 40% excess paid on the actual cost of coal as natural consequence of de-allocation of coal block Sial Ghogri coal Block.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>The project proponent has stated that their having 2 MT cap cement manufacturing plant at Village Mankahari, Distt. Sattna (MP). The plant was commissioned in the year of 1997, they had coal linkage 4 Lakh MT, which was originally sanction from SECL Korba area and subsequently</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. Conversion of tapering linkage to Long-Term linkage is a policy matter, which would be examined separately. Fresh policy guidelines regarding new system of grant of new linkages shall be issued when availability position improves.</p>	<p>No recommendation.</p>

	<p>was transfer to SECL, Korea-Rewa area. One coal block at Sial were allocated to them in the year 2007 which was linked to the above cement plant as end use plant, they came under the tapering linkage 23rd February, 2012.</p> <p>SECL had imposed additional 40% cost over and above the actual cost of the coal.</p> <p>Subsequently, their coal block was cancelled as the result of the Supreme Court Judgment. And requested for their case for restoration of their coal linkage which was originally sanction to them to run their cement plant and the additional 40% cost levied over and above the actual cost under tapering arrangement by SECL shall need direction from MoC for immediate refund with interest.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Tapering Linkage. The unit is not included in the CCO letter dated 11.06.2014. In this regard, action has already been taken by CIL in terms of the decision of the IMC and minutes of SLC (LT) meeting held on 03.12.2014. However, matter is related to restoration of tapering coal linkage,</p>		
--	--	--	--

	<p>hence does not come under the purview of CIL.</p> <p>The additional charge was levied under the tapering linkage policy as per the decision taken in CIL Board.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 4</p> <p>Ambuja Cement Ltd. (ACC)</p>	<p>Issue: Request for transfer of Ambujanagar Plant Linkage</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>The project proponent has stated ACC and Ambuja Cement company are the Cement manufacturers in India</p> <p>Ambujanagar Plant of Ambuja Cements Limited is having Fuel Supply Agreement for KILN plant with M/s South Eastern Coalfields Limited and Annual Contracted Quantity is 243000 Tons. Ambujanagar plant is located in Gujarat near the West coast and is having Pet coke refineries very close to it, thus making Imported Coal and Pet coke cheaper than Linkage coal. Therefore, to optimize the coal resources, it is primarily running on Imported Coal and Pet coke and not</p>	<p>Project proponent was not present.</p>	<p>Deferred.</p>

	<p>completely utilizing Linkage Coal.</p> <p>Jamul Cement works of ACC situated in state of Chhattisgarh is undergoing expansion and post which its capacity would be Appx. 3.0 Million Tons of clinker requiring total coal of Appx. 612000 Tons. Jamul is in close proximity to coal mines of South Eastern coalfields limited and presently FSA of their Jamul plant is with M/s SECL for its existing 0.76 Million tons of clinker & ACQ is 172500 tons. We have already submitted their application to MOC/DIPP for additional Linkage/coal for expansion of its clinkerization capacity from 0.76 to 3.0 million tons.</p> <p>To optimize the available coal resources, which is scarce and critical, we propose to transfer the Linkage of Ambujanagar to their Jamul plant due to which it would have following benefit :-</p> <p>Optimal use of Coal, as this Coal would be traveling almost 1/5th the distance to Jamul, which are within ~300 Km distance from colliery compared to ~1700 Km to Ambujanagar plant.</p> <p>This would also help in better</p>		
--	---	--	--

	<p>decongestion of railway infrastructure, as it would result in low turnaround time of railway rakes</p> <p>·Reduce load on SECL by transferring of existing linkage rather than issuing for further additional Linkage</p> <p>Reducing the burden of Foreign exchange, as it would reduce the Imported Coal and Pet coke quantities for Jamul Plant.</p> <p>Keeping in view the above points they have requested for transfer of the Ambujanagar Linkage quantity to their Jamul Plant, which would result in optimal utilization of scarce coal</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Such inter plant transfer is not entertainable, since the linkage is plant specific.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 5</p> <p>Ultra Tech Hirmi Cement</p>	<p>Issue: Signing of FSA</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>M/s. Ultra Tech Cement Limited states</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. In this case, tapering linkage was neither applied, nor granted. This is a</p>	<p>No recommendation.</p>

D-4-a-2

Works, CG	<p>that, they have been issued LOA by SECL and prescribed milestones achieved timely but SECL did not sign FSA due to completion of 3 year from the Normative date of production of the allocated coal block. Further, M/s. UTCL states that, at present they neither have coal block nor linkage.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>FSA was not executed due to validity of tapering linkage period was over.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>	<p>policy matter, which would be examined separately. Fresh policy guidelines regarding new system of grant of new linkages shall be issued when availability position improves.</p>	
<p>Agenda Item No. 6</p> <p>Ultra Tech Vikram Cement Works, CG</p>	<p>Issue: Signing of FSA</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>Ultra Tech Vikram Cement works has requested for signing of FSA for their unit of CPP-II(1x23 MW), Vikram cement works for quantity of 135148 MT since, the milestones have already been achieved.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>FSA is under process at the end of WCL.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. The case relates to Multi Fuel CPP.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that the issue be examined by CIL.</p>

D-1-1-2

F. No.23014/1/2015-CPD

Government of India
Ministry of Coal
CPD Section

New Delhi, Dated 20th March, 2015

Minutes of the meeting of the Standing Linkage Committee (Long Term) for Sponge Iron held on 12th March, 2015

A meeting of the Standing Linkage Committee (Long Term) for Sponge Iron was held on 12.03.2015 under the chairmanship of Additional Secretary (AKB) to review the status of LoAs issued by Coal India Ltd and its subsidiaries in pursuance of the recommendations of the Committee and other related matters. A list of participants is attached as 'Annexure-I'.

Agenda Item & No.	Brief Description of the Agenda Item	Discussion	Recommendations with reasons
Agenda Item No. 1 Confirmation of Minutes of the Special SLC (LT) meeting held on 03.12.2014 and SLC (LT) review meeting held on 23.12.2014	Confirmation of Minutes of the Special SLC (LT) meeting held on 03.12.2014 and SLC (LT) review meeting held on 23.12.2014.		Minutes of the Special SLC (LT) meeting held on 03.12.2014 and SLC (LT) review meeting held on 23.12.2014 were confirmed.
Agenda Item No. 2 Baldev Alloyes Pvt. Ltd.	Issue: Condoning Delay in submission of the Bank Guarantee for Linkage / Fuel Supply Agreement for 3rd and 4th Kilns of Installed and running Sponge	The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. It was also brought out that MoC had replied to the	The Committee recommended that the issue be examined by MoC as it is subject matter of court.

D/S - 2

	<p>Iron Plant and supplies of coal be commenced as per NCDP 75% of Normative Requirement.</p> <p><u>Brief Background :-</u></p> <p>The project proponent has stated that BAPL expanded its Sponge making facilities and prayed for supply of Coal / linkage for its new installation of 3rd and 4th Sponge Iron Kilns way-back on 25-01-2007, Ministry of Coal considered the application and directed SECL/CIL to comply the process and Enter into FSA in pursuance of the NCDP and SECL on 25-03-2008 confirmed Coal Linkage / Offer for Letter of Assurance [LOA] as per norms under NCDP.</p> <p>On 29-03-2008 SECL issued a Notice / Letter and laid down the condition of submission of CG within 3 months from date of notice / letter for issuance of LOA and thereby FSA which was further 15 days extended, as the unit at the relevant period was under commissioning stage they have requested for time extension.</p> <p>SECL cancelled the Offer for LOA without disposing the request made by them, of which intimation was received on 14-11-2009,</p>	<p>representation of the party on 30.06.14 as per orders of the Court.</p>	
--	--	--	--

D/S-u-r

	<p>On 30-06-2014, MoC vide its letter No. 23028/13/2013-CPD, intimated the Director of BAPL that "Hon'ble court vide its order dated 26-02-2013 had granted liberty to the company to apply afresh for linkage, which has not been availed of by the company, in any case fresh coal linkages are not being considered at this point of time due to large gap between demand and supply of coal in the country.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Due to non-submission of CG within stipulated time period, offer for LOA cancelled/withdrawn and the same was communicated to MOC.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 3</p> <p>Howrah Gas Ltd. (HGL)</p>	<p>Issue: Resumption of coal supply to existing and running sponge iron (60,000) unit of M/s. Howrah Gases Ltd., in Raniganj through FSA.</p> <p>M/s. Howrah Gases Ltd. (HGL) has stated that on the basis of long term linkage dated 31.12.2002 for supply of 40,000 tpa and 40000 tpa coal from CCL sources and later in terms of a NCDP supply of linkage quantum coal was effected by formalizing 2 separate FSA</p>	<p>Project proponent was not present.</p>	<p>Deferred.</p>

09-4-2

	<p>continuously upto Sept., 2013 till the implementation of Tapering Linkage Policy. They were allocated Moira madhujore Coal Block in respect of expansion project of HGL, being a joint allottee got linked to said coal block in exclusion of the existing facilities.</p> <p>For non-excavation of coal by normative date i.e. 6th October, 2013 because of impossibility of performance beyond the allottees control CCL curtailed supply of coal on yet to year basis and facing out the coal supply at the end of 3rd year. The same was challenged by the project proponents in the High Court of Calcutta vide W.P. No. 3252/2013. HGL expressed it's willingness and readiness to withdraw the said litigation on receiving confirmation from MoC regarding resumption of coal supply by renewing the FSA in absence of any captive block / source of coal of it's de-allocation.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Comments of CIL not received.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 4</p>	<p>Issue: Re-establishment of Coal linkage, restoration of commitment Guarantee and</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. They</p>	<p>Such cases may be considered under the proposed new policy on allotment of coal linkages.</p>

9-4-2

<p>Rashmi Metaliks Ltd.</p>	<p>recommendation for FSA in respect of 1.80 LTPA capacity DRI unit at Kharagpur- Rasmi Cement Ltd. West Bengal.</p> <p><u>Brief Background :-</u></p> <p>It was stated by Rashmi Metaliks Limited (RML) that, they were issued a letter of Assurance (LoA) for 2,16,000 tons per annum of D/E grade coal by Eastern Coalfields Limited for 1,80,000 TPA Sponge Iron plant at Gokulpur, Khragpur, West Bengal. As per the LoA, RML had deposited a sum of Rs. 2, 68, 92,000 (Rupees Two Sixty Eight Lakh and Two Thousand only) as commitment Guarantee (CG) to ECL and had successfully complied all the activities in the milestones chart within the validity period as specified by ECL in their aforesaid LoA letter. Subsequently, ECL authority inspected their plant and reported that 50% of the construction work has not been completed and in consequence, ECL vide letter dated 04.05.2010 has revoked the aforesaid Commitment Guarantee deposited by RML and cancelled LoA .</p> <p>This may be submitted in this connection that due to severe socio-political disturbances created by the extremist force in that area during that point of</p>	<p>have commissioned the plant which is operational for the last four years. They requested to reinstate the linkage as the plant is located in Maoist belt. CCL submitted that there may be many such cases.</p>	
-----------------------------	--	---	--

04-4-2

	<p>time and particularly their agitation was against the construction of sponge iron plant caused postponement of their various construction activities</p> <p>They have requested for, advise to CIL/ECL for resumption of LoA and adjust their Commitment Guarantee by executing FSA because their sponge iron units have, since, been operating.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>As per CCL, the milestone submitted, 50% of the construction of the project is completed. However, during inspection, it was found that the construction of the unit is nowhere near the 50% mark, as certified by the Chartered Engineer. therefore, CG forfeited and LOA withdrawn. As the milestones were not achieved, this cannot be agreed.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 5</p> <p>Indian Industries Association (IIA)</p>	<p>Issue: Discrepancy in allocation of coal to the Sponge Iron units which came operation in 2006&2007</p> <p>It was stated by IIA that, member unit of IIA i.e. M/s. S. A. Iron & Alloys Pvt. Ltd. of total capacity of 300 TPD was granted</p>	<p>Project proponent was not present.</p>	<p>Deferred.</p>

Ds-k-r

coal by MoC vide letter No. 5-1-06 and 23021/38/2006-CPD dated 20.06.2006 to the extent of 60% of requirement as coal quantity recommended by the Ministry of Steel as per the decision taken during the SLC (LT) meeting held on 26.10.2005 and 12.05.2006 at serial no 11(a) of the minutes.

Thereafter, in subsequent SLC (LT) meeting held on 06.11.2007 decision was taken to give coal to the extent of 75% of the requirement of the unit to all the new sponge manufacturing units as per requirement of coal as per installed capacity minutes circulated on 14.01.2008. It was not clarified that subsequent decision taken is in super session of the earlier decision taken in the meeting held on 12.05.2006 and it will be applicable on all the units who have commissioned during 2006 also. As a result they are getting only 60% of the required quantity of unit whereas the units who have come in existence later in 2008 than these two units are getting 75% of their requirement of normative quantity.

Therefore, they have requested to advise CIL / SECL / CCL to sign side agreement of FSA for increasing the coal quantity from 60% to 75% to their members M/s. S. A. Iron & Alloys (P) Ltd.

	<p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>No comments received from CIL.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 6</p> <p>Indian Industries Association (IIA)</p>	<p>Issue: Rationalization of coal linkage of their sponge iron manufacturing unit take coal from MCL within the radius of 200 KM in the source of supply.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>Rationalization of coal linkage of some of SIP units of S.A. Iron and Alloys (P) Ltd. from CCL/SECL to NCL since, these plants are in close proximity to NCL and rationalization would save transportation cost.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>S.A. Iron and Alloys (P) Limited recently applied for the source change from CCL/SECL to NCL which will be examined suitably.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>	<p>Project proponent was not present.</p>	<p>Deferred.</p>

D. S. - 4 - 2

<p>Agenda Item No. 7</p> <p>Chaman Metallics</p>	<p>Issue: Restoration of coal supply from WCL to existing sponge iron plant in Tadali, Chandrapur, Maharashtra.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>M/s. Chaman Metallics have stated that they are receiving coal from WCL through LoA/FSA route. On allocation of Kosara Dongargaon coal block on 20.02.2007 and subsequent implementation tapering linkage policy coal supply from linked source was withdrawn. Subsequently, Hon'ble Supreme Court of India order for cancellation all captive coal blocks allocated to the EUPs since 1993. As a result the sponge iron unit of CML have no stable / linked source of coal and has been passing through severe hardship.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>FSA with Chaman Metallics Ltd. was signed on 24.09.2009 on normal basis, in Jan., 2012 consumer shown its inability to take coal in view of non-availability of iron ore. Validity of FSA expired on 23.09.2014. However, The unit has also not made any application for renewal of FSA till date.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>	<p>Project proponent was not present.</p>	<p>Deferred.</p>
---	--	---	------------------

D 4-4-N

<p>Agenda Item No. 8</p> <p>Anjani Steel Ltd.</p>	<p>Issue: Restoration of coal linkage for their sponge iron plant and grant of coal linkage for their captive power plant.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>The LoA for coal linkage of kiln no.3 was cancelled / withdrawn by SECL on account of non-fulfilment of some milestones whereas they had fulfilled all the milestones.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Unit were recommended to supply coal till mar., 2015. So far matter of restoration of tapering coal linkage is concerned, which cannot be agreed to CIL 2. As per SECL deficiencies were found in the milestones no. 5, 7, 10, 11, 14 and 15 consequent upon which LOA cancelled/withdrawn and CG + ACG forfeited. 3 & 4: matters are not pertaining to CIL.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>	<p>Project proponent was not present.</p>	<p>Deferred.</p>
<p>Agenda Item No. 9</p> <p>Anjani Steel Ltd.</p>	<p>Issue: Change of name from M/s. Anjani Steels Pvt. Ltd. to M/s. Anjani Steels Limited.</p>	<p>Project proponent was not present.</p>	<p>Deferred.</p>

D. S. K. R.

	<p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>The project proponent have stated that the consequent upon the change in the name of their company from M/s. Anjani Steels Pvt. Ltd. to M/s. Anjani Steels Limited. They have requested MoC to effect necessary change in the records. And the same is awaited.</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>SECL will take further needful after receiving the necessary documents.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item No. 10</p> <p>Visa Steel</p>	<p>Issue:</p> <p>Requests for restoration of coal linkage and issue of charging 40% premium over price in tapering linkage.</p> <p><u>Brief Background:-</u></p> <p>I. The project proponent has requested for restoration of coal linkage for their Sponge Iron plant having capacity of 3.00 LTPA.</p> <p>II. It was further stated by them that after advise from the Coal Ministry on the</p>	<p>The proponent explained the issue as mentioned in the representation. Conversion of tapering linkage to Long-Term linkage is a policy matter, which would be examined separately. Fresh policy guidelines regarding new system of grant of new linkages shall be issued when availability position improves.</p>	<p>No recommendation.</p>

	<p>SLC (LT) meeting held on 3rd December 2014, CIL/MCL has agreed to supply coal to their sponge iron units, but has told them that it will charge a premium of 40% and 20% respectively over and above price applicable for sponge iron units, for supplies to sponge iron and CPP respectively (considering this as supply under tapering linkage)</p> <p>Remarks of CIL :-</p> <p>Matter is related to restoration of tapering coal linkage, which cannot be agreed to CIL. However, vide CIL letter dated 22.01.2015 CIL unit was recommended to supply of Coal till March, 2015.</p> <p>The SLC (LT) to take a view in the matter.</p>		
--	--	--	--

0) 5 - 4 - 2

ANNEXURE

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS WHO ATTENDED THE MEETING OF THE STANDING LINKAGE COMMITTEE (LONG TERM) FOR POWER/SPONGE/CEMENT SECTORS HELD ON 12TH MARCH, 2015 TO REVIEW THE STATUS OF EXISTING COAL LINKAGES/LOAs AND OTHER RELATED MATTER

	Ministry of Coal
1.	Sh. A.K. Bhalla, Additional Secretary (Coal)
2.	Sh S.K. Singh, Joint Secretary(SKS)
3.	Sh. J.S. Bindra, Director(CPD)
4.	Sh. Pilli Ravi Kumar, Under Secretary (CPD)
	Ministry of Power
5.	Sh. A.K. Singh, Joint Secretary
6.	Sh. Harpreet Singh Prothi, Director
	Central Electricity Authority
7.	Sh. A.K. Mishra, Chief Engineer
8.	Sh. P.D. Siwal, Chief Engineer
9.	Sh. Alok Saxena, Consultant
10.	Sh. M.P. Singh, Director
11.	Sh. S.K. Jain, DD
	Ministry of Steel
12.	Sh. S. Abbasi, Joint Secretary
	Deptt of Industrial Policy and Promotion
13.	Sh. Nand Lal
14.	Sh. K. Srinivasu, Under Secretary
	NTPC
15.	Sh. S.D. Prasad GM(FM)
16.	Sh. D.K. Saha,(AGM)
	Singareni Collieries Company Ltd (SCCL)
17.	Sh. N.V.Rajashekar Rao, DGM
18.	Sh. V. Radhakrishna Rao, DGM
	Coal India Limited and Coal Companies
19.	Sh. G. Singh, CMD, CCL
20.	Sh. OM Prakash, CMD, SECL
21.	Sh. B.K. Saxena, Director (Marketing), CIL
22.	Sh. S.S. Malhi, Director (Tech), WCL
23.	Sh. A.K. Tiwari, Director (O), MCL
24.	Sh. R.K. Chopra, DT, CMPDIL
25.	Sh. Tarak S. Roa, CIL
26.	Sh. Sunil Kumar Roy, Sr. MGR (S&M)
27.	Sh. R. Gupta, GM(S&M), CCL
28.	Sh. L.K. Mishra, GM,CIL
29.	Sh. G.K. Vashishtha, General Manager (S&M), CIL Office, New Delhi
30.	Sh. Raghu Nandan GM(S&M), MCL
31.	Sh. S. N. Prasad, GM (S&M), WCL
32.	Sh. A.R. Goopta, GM(S&M), ECL
33.	Dr. Y.V.S. Prasad, GM(S&M)
	CSPGCL
34.	Sh. S.B. Agrawal, MD